

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,993	10/06/2003	· Komad Parsa	652782-6	4533	
75	90 06/28/2004		EXAMINER		
Brian M. Berli			MCMAHON, M	MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J	
O'MELVENY & 400 South Hope	*		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899 3747		3747			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	^			
Office Action Commons	10/679,993	PARSA, KOMAD	MA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	TY			
	Marguerite J. McMahon	3747				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss 			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		erits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,10,12-16,18,21,25 and 26 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-9,11,17,19,20 and 22-24 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. ected. ected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/679,993

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 10, 12, 14-16, 18, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Cooper (3,792,690). Note an oxygen separation system 13, an enriched oxygen stream connected to a first suction source including intake manifold vacuum and compressor or air pump 39, and a second nitrogen enriched stream, which is input to an exhaust system 48 (see Figure 3). It would have been obvious, if not inherent, that a second suction source such as the exhaust stream or an air pump would move the nitrogen flow into the muffler 48. Otherwise, the system would not function properly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper (3,792,690). Cooper shows everything except the first suction source comprising a mechanical pump, and an air filter. An air pump and a mechanical pump

Application/Control Number: 10/679,993

Art Unit: 3747

are alterative equivalents known for the same purpose. Thus, it would have been obvious to substitute a mechanical pump for an air pump (the air pump being cited in claim 12). In addition, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize an air filter, since such components are routinely utilized in the engine art to prevent contaminants from entering the engine.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-9, 11, 17, 19, 20, and 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,993

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARGUERITE MCMAHON PRIMARY EXAMINER